UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,557	07/16/2001	Takahiko Ishiguro	Q65441	6024
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 01/16/200 5550	7	EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVE. NW N, DC 20037-3213	SHAW, AMANDA MARIE		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/904,557	ISHIGURO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Amanda M. Shaw	1634	

	Amanda M. Shaw	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) te with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set that the date set the date set that the date set the date set the date set that the date set the date	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materiall	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		-compliant Amendment	(I* TOE-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	4 da NOT al 4b ali 4i	and the same distance for all according	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See continuation sheet.</u> 		on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	·
13. Other:	•		2
		- jaa	A 20 400 (200)
•		DIANA JOHA	MINSTN ALAMATA

Continuation of 3. The proposed amendments made to the claims raise new issues because the scope of the claims has changed. Previously step C of claims 13 and 14 recited "repeating (A) and (B) on at least one selected portion of said selected DNA molecule that is different from the selected portion of (B). The phrase "different" was given the broadest reasonable interpretation and in previous Office Actions this method step was interpreted two ways. In the first interpretation, if a nucleic acid was 1000 nucleotides long one portion might comprise nucleotides 200-300 and a different portion might comprise nucleotides 400-500. In the second interpretation, if a nucleic acid was 1000 nucleotides long one portion might comprise nucleotides 200-300 and a different portion might comprise nucleotides 200-220. However the claims have now been amended to recite "repeating (A) and (B) on at least one selected portion of said selected DNA molecule that is different from and non-overlapping with the selected portion of (B)". Thus further search and consideration would be required.

Additionally it is noted that the proposed amendments made to the claims raise the issue of new matter. The applicants point to the example in the application and Fig 1 for support. However the specification does not provide support for repeating (A) and (B) on at least one selected portion of said selected DNA molecule that is different from and non overlapping with the selected portion of (B).

Continuation of 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the after-final amendment.